Are we justified in treating for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis based on positive follow-up smear results?

R. S. Kumar,* A. M. V. Kumar,[†] M. Claassens,[‡] V. V. Banurekha,* G. Sekar,* P. Venkatesan,* S. Swaminathan*

*National Institute for Research in Tuberculosis, Chennai, [†]International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease, South-East Asia Regional Office, New Delhi, India; [‡]Desmond Tutu TB Centre, Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa

_ S U M M A R Y

SETTING: National Institute for Research in Tuberculosis, India.

OBJECTIVE: To assess, among new culture-confirmed smear-positive pulmonary tuberculosis (TB) patients, the proportion of follow-up smear-positives that were culture-negative (S+C-) by month of follow-up examination, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) status, pre-treatment drug susceptibility status and smear grading.

DESIGN: We extracted follow-up smear (fluorescence microscopy) and culture (Löwenstein-Jensen) results of patients enrolled in clinical trials from January 2000 to August 2012 and treated with the WHO Category I regimen (2EHRZ₃/4HR₃).

RESULTS: Of 520 patients, including 176 who were HIV-infected, respectively 199, 81, 47 and 43 were smear-positive at months 2, 4, 5 and 6; of these,

SPUTUM EXAMINATION by smear microscopy and culture is used during follow-up to monitor treatment response among pulmonary tuberculosis (TB) patients. Although culture is ideal, as it provides information on the viability of bacilli and facilitates drug susceptibility testing (DST), it is not widely available and results often take too long to be of value in clinical management. National TB programmes therefore rely on smear microscopy to monitor treatment response, particularly in resource-limited settings. The World Health Organization (WHO) 2010 guidelines for anti-tuberculosis treatment recommend that TB patients whose treatment has failed based on positive smear results at 5 months of treatment or later should be started on an empirical multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) regimen and continued, if MDR-TB cannot be confirmed by culture and DST.¹ There have been concerns around this recommendation, particularly in settings using first-line regimens containing rifampicin (RMP) respectively 138 (69%), 62 (75%), 32 (68%) and 27 (63%) were culture-negative. The S+C- phenomenon was more pronounced among '1+ positive' patients than in 2+ or 3+ positive patients and in 'pan-susceptible' patients than in those with any resistance, and did not vary by HIV status.

CONCLUSION: Nearly two thirds of patients with follow-up smears positive at months 5 and 6 were culture-negative. Starting multidrug-resistant TB (MDR-TB) treatment empirically based on smear results, even in resource-limited settings, is incorrect and can have hazardous consequences. There is an urgent need to revisit the WHO recommendation concerning empirical MDR-TB treatment.

KEY WORDS: empiric MDR-TB treatment; S+Cphenomenon; sputum smear; sputum culture; pulmonary tuberculosis

throughout the course of treatment. Traditionally, when a TB patient was treated with a non-RMPcontaining regimen, a positive smear correlated well with a positive culture.² With the use of RMP in short-course chemotherapy regimens, this has changed,^{3,4} and results from previous studies indicate that one third to two thirds of follow-up smearpositive results were culture-negative,^{3–9} and starting MDR-TB treatment empirically based on positive smear result may consequently be unnecessary and hazardous for the patient. Most of the evidence regarding smear-positive, culture-negative (S+C-) cases comes from countries with a low TB burden. No studies have been published from India, a high TB burden country, on this issue.

In the present study, we aimed to examine the extent of the S+C- phenomenon in the follow-up sputum examinations among new smear-positive, culture-confirmed pulmonary TB patients receiving an RMP-containing first-line treatment regimen. The

Correspondence to: Ramesh S Kumar, National Institute for Research in Tuberculosis, Indian Council of Medical Research, Chennai, India. Tel: (+91) 94421 19695. Fax: (+91) 44 2836 2528. e-mail: drrameshskumar@yahoo.co.in Article submitted 16 June 2013. Final version accepted 24 November 2013.

specific objectives were to determine the proportion of follow-up S+C- patients, disaggregated by month of follow-up examination and described by age, sex, baseline DST status, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) status and pre-treatment smear quantification.

METHODS

Study design

This was a retrospective cohort study conducted from June 2012 to May 2013.

Setting

The study was conducted at the National Institute for Research in Tuberculosis (NIRT) in Chennai and Madurai, India. For patients recruited into clinical trials, three sputum specimens (two overnight specimens and one spot) were collected at monthly follow-up visits during treatment. Smears were prepared from raw sputum, stained with auraminerhodamine and read under fluorescence microscopy. They were graded as follows: <6 bacilli/high-power field (HPF) (1+), 6-100 bacilli/HPF (2+) and >100 bacilli/HPF or large clumps (3+).¹⁰ Sputum was decontaminated and concentrated before culture for mycobacteria using the modified Petroff's method.¹¹ The cultures were graded on the basis of the quantum of growth in Löwenstein-Jensen (LJ) medium, as follows: actual number up to 19 colonies, 20-100 colonies (1+), >100 colonies (2+), and confluent growth (3+).¹² Positive cultures were identified as Mycobacterium tuberculosis using standard methods.12,13 DST for isoniazid (INH) and RMP was performed in LJ medium using the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) method.¹⁴ MICs of >5 mg/l and >128 mg/l were defined as resistance to INH and RMP, respectively.¹⁵

Study population

All new smear- and culture-positive pulmonary TB patients who were initiated on a 6-month RMPcontaining thrice-weekly intermittent regimen WHO Category I 2(EHRZ)₃/4(HR)₃)* enrolled in one of four clinical trials^{16–20} conducted at the NIRT from January 2000 to August 2012 constituted the study population. Patients who were culture-negative at baseline and those with atypical mycobacterial infections were excluded.

Sample size

Assuming 40% prevalence (proportion of smearpositive, culture-negative), an absolute precision of 4% and a confidence level of 95%, the sample size was calculated to be \sim 600. To achieve the sample size, we decided to include all TB patients enrolled as controls in the four clinical trials.

Data collection, variables, and source

We collected information on the following variables: age in completed years, sex, pre-treatment DST status, HIV status and results of sputum smear and culture during treatment follow-up at months 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6. These data were extracted from the records of TB patients maintained at the NIRT. Data were double-checked and validated per the protocol at NIRT.

Data entry and analysis

Data on age, sex and pre-treatment DST results were extracted from the pre-existing electronic databases. Data on the results of monthly follow-up smears and cultures were captured in an EpiData database (Version 3.1, EpiData Association, Odense, Denmark), dual entered and validated. The two data sets were merged to create a master data set, which was used for analysis using EpiData Analysis (version 2.2.2.180).

Definitions of key indicators

A patient was considered smear-positive if any of the three specimens examined during follow-up was smear-positive. To be smear-negative, all three specimens tested had to be smear-negative. Similarly, a person was considered culture-positive if any of the three specimens examined during follow-up was positive for culture, and culture-negative only if all three specimens tested were culture-negative. The proportion of smear-positives that were culturenegative was calculated for every month of follow-up.

Ethics approval

Administrative approval to use the data was obtained from the Director of the NIRT. Clinical trials from which the data were extracted for this study were previously approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of the NIRT. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Advisory Group of the International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease (The Union), Paris, France.

RESULTS

Data were available for 673 patients. Excluding patients who were smear- or culture-negative at baseline (n = 153), 520 smear- and culture-positive patients were included in the analysis. Among these, 389 (75%) were male and 176 (34%) were HIV-infected. The mean age of the cohort was 34.1 years (standard deviation 10.3). Respectively 316, 199, 118, 81, 47 and 43 patients had at least one positive smear at months 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6. Of the smear-

^{*}E = ethambutol; H = isoniazid; R = rifampicin; Z = pyrazinamide. Numbers before the letters indicate the duration in months of the phase of treatment; numbers in subscript indicate the number of times the drug is taken each week.

positives, respectively 53 (17%), 138 (69%), 89 (75%), 62 (77%), 32 (68%) and 27 (63%) were culture-negative (Table 1). S+C- cases did not vary by age, sex, or HIV status, but were more likely among patients whose pre-treatment smear grading was 1+ than in those who were 2+ or 3+, and in patients with bacilli susceptible to all first-line drugs (Table 2) than in those with any resistance. More than 98% of smear-negative patients were culture-negative during follow-up.

DISCUSSION

These findings confirm our hypothesis that among TB patients treated using RMP-containing regimens, many of the smear-positives identified during follow-up are culture-negative. The phenomenon varied as treatment progressed, with nearly 75% of smear-positives converting to culture-negative at months 2, 3 and 4 and 65% at months 5 and 6. This has many implications. First, given that nearly two thirds of all smear-positive failures were culture-negative, starting empirical MDR-TB treatment (as recommended by the WHO) among such patients without laboratory confirmation could lead to unnecessary treatment with toxic drugs.

Second, the findings caution against the current national guidelines in India of extending the intensive phase of anti-tuberculosis treatment based on positive smear results at month 2 of treatment. A study from Bangladesh showed that the extension of the intensive phase based on smear positivity at the end of the phase did not lead to improved treatment outcomes;²¹ this formed the basis of global recommendations on this issue.^{1,22}

Third, this also throws doubt on the reliability of positive smear microscopy results during follow-up in guiding patient management. While a negative smear microscopy result correlated well with culture, a positive smear result should be viewed with caution.

National TB programmes should consider alternative tools for monitoring treatment response in addition to smear microscopy. While culture by

phenotypic methods could be an alternative, this method has a long turnaround time, it requires trained personnel and laboratory infrastructure, and is not widely available. The newly WHO-approved rapid molecular diagnostics could be a better alternative for the diagnosis of MDR-TB or RMP resistance among smear-positive individuals with 'failure' as outcome. Although these molecular diagnostics cannot indicate whether bacilli are viable, they can identify RMP resistance. Given our study findings that positive smears are most likely to be culture-positive in MDR-TB patients, an RMP-resistant result using molecular tools such as the line-probe assay or automated nucleic acid amplification tests could be safely used to initiate MDR-TB treatment without concerns about the viability of bacilli. Other promising tools yet to be widely validated and approved are vital staining of smears using fluorescein-diacetate23 or slide culture²⁴ techniques.

Strengths

This is the first study from India that fills an important knowledge gap in the S+C- phenomenon, on which limited information is available globally. The smear and culture results were reliable, as the examinations were conducted by trained personnel at NIRT, a supranational WHO reference laboratory for mycobacteriology. Patient adherence to treatment was ensured, which helped in studying the effect of treatment regimens on the S+C- phenomenon. We adhered to STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology) guidelines in reporting of these data.²⁵

Limitations of the study

All patients enrolled in this cohort were investigated, treated and monitored in a clinical trial setting using fluorescence microscopy (and not Ziehl-Neelsen) for the examination of sputum smears; there may thus be concerns regarding the generalisability of the findings to current programme settings. However, fluorescence microscopy has been introduced in ~ 200 medical colleges in India, and there are plans to scale

Table 1	Follow up smear and culture results of new tuberculosis patients enrolled in clinical trials				
at the National Institute for Research in Tuberculosis, Chennai, India, January 2000-August 2012					

	Smear-positive n		mear-positive, Iture-negative	Smear-positive, culture-positive		
Month*		п	% (95%CI)	п	% (95%Cl)	
1	316	53	17 (13.1–21.3)	259	82 (77.3–85.8)	
2	199	138	69 (62.6–75.3)	61	31 (24.7–37.4)	
3	118	89	75 (66.9-82.3)	28	24 (17.0-32.2)	
4	81	62	77 (66.2-84.4)	19	24 (15.6–33.8)	
5	47	32	68 (53.8–80)	15	32 (20.4-46.2)	
6	43	27	63 (47.9–75.6)	16	37 (24.4–52.1)	

*At Month 1 and 3, respectively 4 (1.3%) and 1 (0.8%) patients were smear-positive; however, culture results were not available.

CI = confidence interval

	Culture-negative/smear-positive							
Parameters	Month 1 (<i>n</i> = 316) <i>n/N</i> (%)	Month 2 (n = 199) n/N (%)	Month 3 (n =118) n/N (%)	Month 4 (n = 81) n/N (%)	Month 5 (<i>n</i> = 47) <i>n/N</i> (%)	Month 6 (n = 43) n/N (%)		
Sex Male Female	38/245 (16) 15/71 (21)	104/152 (68) 34/47 (72)	73/94 (78) 16/24 (67)	53/66 (80) 9/15 (60)	28/38 (74) 5/9 (44)	26/37 (70) 1/6 (17)		
Age group, years 18–34 ≥35	26/152(17) 27/164(17)	62/89(70) 76/110(70)	37/48(77) 52/70(74)	29/40(73) 33/41(81)	13/19(69) 19/28(68)	4/8(50) 23/35(66)		
HIV status Positive Negative	26/74 (35) 27/242 (11)	41/54 (76) 97/145 (67)	29/39 (74) 60/79 (76)	19/24 (79) 43/57 (75)	10/15 (67) 22/32 (69)	6/9 (67) 21/34 (62)		
DST Pan-susceptible Any resistance other than MDR-TB MDR-TB	46/216 (21) 7/97 (7) 0/3	103/135 (76) 34/57 (60) 1/7 (14)	66/77 (86) 23/37 (62) 0/4	50/55 (91) 12/22 (55) 0/4	24/30 (80) 8/17 (47) 0/0	21/28 (75) 6/15 (40) 0/0		
Smear grading at baseline 3+ 2+ 1+	13/96 (14) 23/154 (15) 17/66 (26)	41/70 (59) 66/91 (73) 31/38 (82)	31/46 (67) 48/59 (81) 10/13 (77)	25/35 (71) 29/37 (78) 8/9 (89)	11/19 (58) 18/21 (86) 3/7 (43)	10/20 (50) 11/17 (65) 6/6 (100)		

 Table 2
 Smear-positive culture-negative cases by baseline parameters among new TB patients enrolled into clinical trials, National

 Institute for Research in Tuberculosis, Chennai, India, January 2000–August 2012

TB = tuberculosis; HIV = human immunodeficiency virus; DST = drug susceptibility testing; MDR-TB = multidrug-resistant TB.

up the technology to all the high workload centres in the next 5 years under the Revised National Tuberculosis Control Programme (RNTCP) in India. The findings of this study may therefore be applicable in the near future. While overall the sample size was adequate, we had few smear-positive patients, especially at months 5 and 6; confidence intervals for S+C- results at these months are thus wider, indicating greater uncertainty. Nevertheless, the lower limit was ~50%, indicating that at least half of all smear-positives were culture-negative.

CONCLUSION

Nearly two thirds of the follow-up smear-positives at months 5 and 6 were culture-negative. Starting MDR-TB treatment empirically based on smear results even in resource-limited settings is incorrect and can have hazardous consequences, including unnecessary treatment with toxic, less potent, expensive and longer regimens with unwarranted inconvenience to patients and their families. There is an urgent need to revisit the WHO recommendation in this regard. National TB programmes should consider alternative tools for monitoring treatment response in addition to smear microscopy.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported through an operational research course that was jointly developed and run by the International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease, South-East Asia Regional Office, New Delhi, India, the National Institute for Research in Tuberculosis, Chennai, India, and the Desmond Tutu TB Centre, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa.

Funding for the operational research course was made possible by the support of the American people through the United States Agency for International Development (USAID). The funders had no role in study design, data collection, analysis or interpretation of data. The contents of this paper do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID or the United States Government.

Conflict of interest: none declared.

References

- World Health Organization. Treatment of tuberculosis: guidelines. 4th ed. WHO/HTM/TB/2009.420. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2010. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2010/ 9789241547833_eng.pdf. Accessed January 2014.
- 2 Devadatta S, Radhakrishna S, Fox W. et al. Comparative value of sputum smear examination and culture examination in assessing the progress of tuberculous patients receiving chemotherapy. Bull World Health Organ 1966; 34: 573–587.
- 3 Newman R, Doster B, Murray F J, Woolpert S F. Rifampin in initial treatment of pulmonary tuberculosis. A US Public Health Service tuberculosis therapy trial. Am Rev Respir Dis 1974; 109: 216–232.
- 4 Newman R, Doster B, Murray F J, Ferebee S. Rifampin in initial treatment of pulmonary tuberculosis. A US Public Health Service tuberculosis therapy trial. Am Rev Respir Dis 1971; 103: 461–476.
- 5 Domínguez J M, Vivas E S. Smear-positive and culture-negative results of routine sputum investigations for the detection and therapy control of pulmonary tuberculosis. Tubercle 1977; 58: 217–220.
- 6 Augier J, Parrot R, Gillet P. European Symposium rifampicin, 10–11 March 1969. Fréquence et signification des bacilloscopies positives en fluorescence associées à des cultures négatives au cours de traitement à base d'isoniazide ou de rifampicine chez des tuberculeux traités en sanatorium. Acta Tuberc Pneumol Belgica 1969; 60: 417–429. [French]
- 7 van der Kuyp F, Mahan C S. Prolonged positivity of sputum smears with negative cultures during treatment for pulmonary tuberculosis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2012; 16: 1663–1667.
- 8 Vidal R, Martin-Casabona N, Juan A, Falgueras T, Miravitlles M. Incidence and significance of acid-fast bacilli in sputum smears at the end of anti-tuberculosis treatment. Chest 1996; 109: 1562–1565.

- 9 Al-Moamary M S, Black W, Bessuille E, Elwood R K, Vedal S. The significance of the persistent presence of acid-fast bacilli in sputum smears in pulmonary tuberculosis. Chest 1999; 116: 726–731.
- 10 Holst E, Mitchison D A, Radhakrishna S. Examination of smears for tubercle bacilli by fluorescence microscopy. Indian J Med Res 1959; 47: 495–499.
- 11 Kent P T, Kubica G P. Isolation procedures. In: Public health mycobacteriology: a guide for the level III laboratory. Atlanta, GA, USA: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Center for Disease Control Service, 1985: pp 42–43.
- 12 Allen B W, Baker F J. Mycobacteria: isolation, identification and sensitivity testing. London, UK: Butterworths, 1968.
- 13 Kubica G P. Differential identification of mycobacteria. VII. Key features for identification of clinically significant mycobacteria. Am Rev Respir Dis 1973; 107: 9–21.
- 14 Canetti G, Fox W, Khomenko A, et al. Advances in techniques of testing mycobacterial drug sensitivity, and the use of sensitivity tests in tuberculosis control programmes. Bull World Health Organ 1969; 41: 21–43.
- 15 Santha T, Thomas A, Chandrasekaran V, et al. Initial drug susceptibility profile of *M. tuberculosis* among patients under TB programme in South India. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2006; 10: 52–57.
- 16 Swaminathan S, Narendran G, Venkatesan P, et al. Efficacy of a 6-month versus 9-month intermittent treatment regimen in HIV-infected patients with tuberculosis - a randomized clinical trial. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2010; 181: 743–751.
- 17 National Institute of Research in Tuberculosis. Annual report of NIRT 2002–2003. Chennai, India: NIRT, 2003. http:// www.icmr.nic.in/annual/trc/2002–2003/ClinicalTrials.pdf. Accessed January 2014.
- 18 National Institute of Research in Tuberculosis. Annual report

of NIRT 2004–2005. Chennai, India: NIRT, 2005. http:// www.icmr.nic.in/annual/2004-05/trc/clinical_research.pdf Accessed January 2014.

- 19 Swaminathan S, Padmapriyadarsini C, Venkatesan P, et al. Efficacy and safety of once-daily nevirapine or efavirenz based antiretroviral therapy in HIV-associated tuberculosis: a randomized clinical trial. Clin Infect Dis 2011; 53: 716–724.
- 20 Banurekha V V, Rajaram K, Kripasankar A S, et al. Efficacy of the 6-month thrice-weekly regimen in the treatment of new sputum smear-positive pulmonary tuberculosis under clinical trial conditions. Natl Med J India 2012; 25: 196–200.
- 21 Aung K J M, Declercq E, Ali M A, et al. Extension of the intensive phase reduces relapse but not failure in a regimen with rifampicin throughout. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2012; 16: 455–461.
- 22 Aït-Khaled N, Alarcón E, Armengol R, et al. Management of tuberculosis. A guide to the essentials of good practice. 6th ed. Paris, France: International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease, 2010.
- 23 Van Deun A, Maug A K J, Hossain A, Gumusboga M, de Jong B C. Fluorescein diacetate vital staining allows earlier diagnosis of rifampicin-resistant tuberculosis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2012; 16: 1174–1179.
- 24 Hemavathi, Sarmah P, Ramesh D H. Comparative evaluation of the rapid slide culture and microscopy with the conventional culture method in the diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis. J Clin Diagn Res 2012; 6: 192–194.
- 25 von Elm E, Altman D G, Egger M, Pocock S J, Gøtzsche P C, Vandenbroucke J P, for the STROBE initiative. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. Lancet 2007; 370: 1453–1457.

CONTEXTE : Institut National de Recherche sur la Tuberculose, Inde

OBJECTIF : Evaluer, parmi les nouveaux patients atteints de tuberculose (TB) pulmonaire confirmée par culture de crachats et un frottis positif, la proportion de patients ayant un frottis positif et une culture négative (S+C-) lors de l'examen mensuel de suivi, le statut du virus de l'immunodéficience humaine (VIH), la sensibilité aux médicaments avant le traitement et le grade du frottis.

SCHÉMA : Nous avons recueilli les résultats des frottis (en microscopie à fluorescence) et des cultures (sur Loewenstein-Jensen) de patients enrôlés dans des essais cliniques de janvier 2000 à août 2012 et traités par le protocole OMS de Catégorie 1 (2EHRZ₃/4HR₃).

RÉSULTATS : Sur 520 patients, dont 176 étaient infectés

MARCO DE REFERENCIA: El Instituto Nacional de Investigación en Tuberculosis, Chennai, India.

OBJETIVO: Evaluar en los pacientes con diagnóstico reciente de tuberculosis (TB) pulmonar y baciloscopia positiva, confirmado por cultivo, la proporción de casos con baciloscopia positiva y resultados negativos al cultivo, según el mes de seguimiento, la situación frente al virus de la inmunodeficiencia humana (VIH), el resultado de la sensibilidad a los medicamentos antes del tratamiento y la clasificación de la baciloscopia.

MÉTODO: Se obtuvieron los resultados de las baciloscopias (microscopia fluorescente) y los cultivos de seguimiento (Löwenstein-Jensen) de los pacientes incorporados a los ensayos clínicos entre enero del 2000 y agosto del 2012 y que recibieron un esquema de tratamiento de Categoría I de la Organización Mundial de la Salud (2EHRZ₃/4HR₃).

RESULTADOS: De los 520 pacientes (176 infectados por el VIH), 199 presentaron una baciloscopia positiva a los 2 meses, 81 pacientes a los 4 meses, 47 a los 5 meses y

par le VIH, 199, 81, 47 et 43 respectivement avaient un frottis positif à 2, 4, 5 et 6 mois ; parmi eux, 138 (69%), 62 (75%), 32 (68%) et 27 (63%) avaient une culture négative. Ce phénomène S+C- était plus prononcé chez les patients positifs classés 1+ que chez ceux classés 2+ ou 3+ et chez les patients sensibles à tous les médicaments que chez ceux présentant une résistance quelconque ; le statut VIH n'avait pas d'influence.

CONCLUSION : Près de deux tiers des patients à frottis positifs à 5 et 6 mois avaient une culture négative. Mettre en route un traitement pour la TB multirésistante (TB-MR) en se basant seulement sur un résultat de frottis, est incorrect, surtout dans un contexte de ressources limitées, et peut avoir des conséquences fâcheuses. Il est urgent de revoir les recommandations de l'OMS relatives au traitement empirique de la TB-MR.

_ R E S U M E N

43 a los 6 meses y de ellos, se obtuvo un cultivo negativo en 138 (69%), 62 (75%), 32 (68%) y 27 pacientes (63%), respectivamente. El fenómeno de obtener un resultado positivo en la baciloscopia y un cultivo negativo fue más pronunciado en los pacientes con una baciloscopia positiva 1+ en comparación con las baciloscopias 2+ o 3+ y en los pacientes sensibles a todos los medicamentos comparados con los pacientes con resistencia a algún medicamento y no se modificó en función de la situación frente al VIH.

CONCLUSIÓN: Cerca de dos tercios de los pacientes con baciloscopias de seguimiento positivas al mes 5 y 6 presentaron cultivos negativos. Comenzar el tratamiento de la TB multidrogorresistente (TB-MDR) de manera empírica con base en los resultados de la baciloscopia, incluso en los entornos con recursos limitados, es inadecuado y puede acarrear consecuencias peligrosas. Es urgente revisar las recomendaciones de la OMS en materia de tratamiento empírico de la TB-MDR.